

Optimal Voronoi Tessellations with Hessian-based Anisotropy

Supplemental Material

Max Budninskiy
Caltech

Beibei Liu
Caltech

Fernando de Goes
Pixar

Yiying Tong
MSU

Pierre Alliez
Inria

Mathieu Desbrun
Caltech/Inria

In this additional document, we provide a detailed proof of the bound on L^p kissing approximations stated for $p = 1$ in our main submission (Eq. (22)), and give expressions for the gradient and Hessian of the approximation error \mathcal{E}_{OVT} for completeness.

1 Proof of approximation error bound

Assume that function $f : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is in $C^2(\Omega)$ and is convex in a convex region $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ containing Ω . Suppose that the kissing approximation approach applied to f leads to an OVT tessellation $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of Ω satisfying conditions (1-4) in Sec 3.3 of the paper.

We use the following shorthand notations:

$$H_p(x) = (\det \text{Hess}[f](x))^{-\frac{1}{2p+n}} \text{Hess}[f](x), \quad H_{V_i} = \frac{1}{|V_i|} \int_{V_i} \text{Hess}[f](x) dx, \quad H_{V_i,p} = (\det H_{V_i})^{-\frac{1}{2p+n}} H_{V_i},$$

$$|V_i|_{H_{V_i}} = (\det H_{V_i})^{\frac{1}{2}} |V_i|, \quad |V_i|_{H_{V_i,p}} = (\det H_{V_i,p})^{\frac{1}{2}} |V_i| = (\det H_{V_i})^{\frac{p}{2p+n}} |V_i|,$$

$$\text{Vol}(\Omega; \rho; H_{V_i,p}) = \sum_i \rho_{V_i}^{\frac{n}{2p+n}} |V_i|_{H_{V_i,p}}, \quad \text{Vol}(\Omega; \rho; H_p) = \int_{\Omega} (\det H_p(x))^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho(x)^{\frac{n}{2p+n}} dx = \int_{\Omega} (\det \text{Hess}[f](x))^{\frac{p}{2p+n}} \rho(x)^{\frac{n}{2p+n}} dx. \quad (1)$$

Condition 3 can be rewritten as: $\exists \beta_0 > 0$, such that

$$\forall V_i \in \mathcal{V}, \frac{\text{diam}_{H_{V_i,p}} V_i}{|V_i|_{H_{V_i,p}}^{\frac{1}{n}}} = \frac{\text{diam}_{H_{V_i}} V_i}{|V_i|_{H_{V_i}}^{\frac{1}{n}}} \leq \beta_0. \quad (2)$$

Similarly, Condition 4 (with density modulation) is equivalent to: $\exists \beta_1 > 0$, such that

$$\frac{\max_i \rho_{V_i}^{\frac{n}{2p+n}} |V_i|_{H_{V_i,p}}}{\min_i \rho_{V_i}^{\frac{n}{2p+n}} |V_i|_{H_{V_i,p}}} \leq \beta_1.$$

First case: $1 \leq p < \infty$

In star-shaped cell $V_i \in \mathcal{V}$ (Condition 1) we can reexpress $f - T_i$ using Taylor expansion at x_i for any $x \in V_i$ with the Lagrange remainder:

$$f(x) - T_i(x) = \frac{1}{2} (x - x_i)^t \text{Hess}[f](x_i + \theta(x - x_i)) (x - x_i).$$

where $\theta \in [0, 1]$. From the second inequality of Condition 2, it follows that:

$$f(x) - T_i(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 (x - x_i)^t H_{V_i} (x - x_i). \quad (3)$$

The star shape assumption of V_i and Eqs. (3) and (2) lead to

$$f(x) - T_i(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \beta_0^2 |V_i|_{H_{V_i}}^{\frac{2}{n}}. \quad (4)$$

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org. © 2016 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

SA '16 Technical Papers, December 05 - 08, 2016, Macao

ISBN: 978-1-4503-4514-9/16/12

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2980179.2980245>

Together with the relations among cell volumes in different metrics, i.e.,

$$|V_i| = (\det H_{V_i})^{-\frac{1}{2}} |V_i|_{H_{V_i}} \quad \text{and} \quad (\det H_{V_i})^{-\frac{1}{2}} |V_i|_{H_{V_i}}^{\frac{2p+n}{n}} = |V_i|_{H_{V_i,p}}^{\frac{2p+n}{n}},$$

we then have

$$\int_{V_i} |f(x) - T_i(x)|^p \rho(x) dx \leq |V_i| \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \beta_0^2 \right)^p \rho_{V_i} |V_i|_{H_{V_i}}^{\frac{2p}{n}} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \beta_0^2 \right)^p \rho_{V_i} |V_i|_{H_{V_i,p}}^{\frac{2p+n}{n}} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \beta_0^2 \right)^p \left(\rho_{V_i}^{\frac{n}{2p+n}} |V_i|_{H_{V_i,p}} \right)^{\frac{2p+n}{n}}.$$

Condition 4 implies that for every $V_i \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$\rho_{V_i}^{\frac{n}{2p+n}} |V_i|_{H_{V_i,p}} \leq \beta_1 N^{-1} \text{Vol}(\Omega; \rho; H_{V_i,p}),$$

where N is the number of cells in \mathcal{V} . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_d\|_{L^p}^p &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{V_i} [f(x) - T_i(x)]^p \rho(x) dx \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \beta_0^2 \right)^p \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\rho_{V_i}^{\frac{n}{2p+n}} |V_i|_{H_{V_i,p}} \right)^{\frac{2p+n}{n}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \beta_0^2 \right)^p N [\beta_1 N^{-1} \text{Vol}(\Omega; \rho; H_{V_i,p})]^{\frac{2p+n}{n}} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \beta_0^2 \right)^p \beta_1^{\frac{2p+n}{n}} N^{-\frac{2p}{n}} \text{Vol}(\Omega; \rho; H_{V_i,p})^{\frac{2p+n}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the first inequality of Condition 2, the strict positivity of ρ and the fact that for two matrices $M_1 \preceq M_2$ we have $\det M_1 \leq \det M_2$, we get:

$$\|f - f_d\|_{L^p}^p \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \beta_0^2 \right)^p \beta_1^{\frac{2p+n}{n}} \alpha_0^{-p} N^{-\frac{2p}{n}} \text{Vol}(\Omega; \rho; H_p)^{\frac{2p+n}{n}}.$$

Taking p -th root of the above and using Eq. (1), we arrive at

$$\|f - f_d\|_{L^p} \leq C(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1, n) N^{-\frac{2}{n}} \left\| (\det \text{Hess}[f])^{\frac{1}{n}} (\rho)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{pn}{2p+n}}(\Omega)}.$$

Second case: $p = \infty$

Denote the limits of $\text{Vol}(\Omega; \rho; H_{V_i,p})$ and $\text{Vol}(\Omega; \rho; H_p)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, respectively, by

$$|\Omega|_{H_V} = \sum_{i=1}^N |V_i|_{H_{V_i}} = \sum_{i=1}^N (\det H_{V_i})^{\frac{1}{2}} |V_i|,$$

and

$$|\Omega|_H = \int_{\Omega} (\det \text{Hess}[f](x))^{\frac{1}{2}} dx.$$

Assume the difference $(f - f_d)$ attains its maximum at point x^* in a cell V^* . Then, analogously to the previous case, we use the bound inside the cell V^* demonstrated earlier and Conditions 1-4 to obtain

$$\|f - f_d\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \beta_0^2 |V^*|_{H_{V^*}}^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \beta_0^2 \beta_1^{\frac{2}{n}} N^{-\frac{2}{n}} |\Omega|_{H_V}^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \beta_0^2 \beta_1^{\frac{2}{n}} \alpha_0^{-1} N^{-\frac{2}{n}} |\Omega|_H^{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

The result follows from the expression for $|\Omega|_H$:

$$\|f - f_d\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1, n) N^{-\frac{2}{n}} \left\| (\det \text{Hess}[f])^{\frac{1}{n}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(\Omega)}.$$

2 Derivatives of OVT Energy

Our derivation of the gradient and Hessian of the objective function \mathcal{E}_{OVT} is based on *Reynold's transport theorem*, which states that for a function $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$, a parameter \mathbf{p} , and a domain D , one has

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \int_D f d\mathbf{x} = \int_D \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial D} f \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) d\mathbf{A},$$

where \mathbf{n} is the outward unit normal field of the boundary ∂D .

Gradient. As site location \mathbf{x}_i is a parameter of the objective function \mathcal{E} , we have

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_i} \mathcal{E}_{\text{OVT}}(f, X) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_i} (f - f_d) d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial \Omega} (f - f_d) \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i} \cdot \mathbf{n} d\mathbf{A}.$$

The second term is zero since the boundary of the domain does not depend on the site location, while the first integral reduces to $-\int_{V_i} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_i} T_i(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$, since f does not depend on \mathbf{x}_i and the continuous function f_d depends on \mathbf{x}_i only within V_i .

Analogously, $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_i} \mathcal{E}_{\rho\text{-OVT}}(f, X) = -\int_{V_i} \rho(\mathbf{x}) \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_i} T_i(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$.

Hessian. Applying Reynold's theorem to the general case of non-uniform density again and denoting $H_i = \text{Hess}[f](\mathbf{x}_i)$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_i} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_i} \mathcal{E}_{\rho\text{-OVT}} &= H_i |V_i|_\rho \text{Id} - |V_i|_\rho \nabla^3 f(\mathbf{x}_i) \cdot (\mathbf{b}_i - \mathbf{x}_i) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{1}{2l_{ij}} \int_{A_{ij}} \rho(\mathbf{x}) [H_i(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)] \otimes [H_i(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)] d\mathbf{A}, \end{aligned}$$

where $N(i)$ is the set of one-ring neighbors of site i and A_{ij} is the boundary facet between the two adjacent cells V_i and V_j , while l_{ij} is the distance between \mathbf{x}_i and \mathbf{x}_j . Here, the boundary term cannot be omitted as the integral is associated with (over) V_i , which (whose) boundary depends on \mathbf{x}_i . Taking into account the influence of \mathbf{x}_j on the boundary of V_i , we also have

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_j} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_i} \mathcal{E}_{\rho\text{-OVT}} = \frac{1}{2l_{ij}} \int_{A_{ij}} \rho(\mathbf{x}) [H_i(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)] \otimes [H_j(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j)] d\mathbf{A}.$$

Since we assume $f \in \mathcal{C}^2$, the expressions above imply that $\mathcal{E}_{\rho\text{-OVT}}$ is twice continuously differentiable as a function of sites.